+1

2676 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Timothy J. Van Hook

Application No. Filing Date

09/625,812

Title

July 26, 2000

Group/Art Unit

Method and Apparatus for Interleaved Graphics Processing

Examiner

Singh, Dalip K

Reference No.

0007057-0012

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. § 1.84)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class man in an envelope addressed to the below shown address.

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

RECEIVED

APR 2 2 2004

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Technology Center 2600

Enclosed is a response to the above-identified application.

			C/	ALCULATION OF FEES			
	CLAIMS ITEM/ AFTER A			HIGHEST NUMBER PAID FOR	EXCESS CLAIMS X RATE	SUBTOTAL	FEE
Α	TOTAL CLAIM FEES	44		44	0 x \$9.00		0,00
В	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	7		7	0 x \$43.00		0.00
С				SMALL ENTITY FEE LARGE ENTITY FEE		0.6	00
D	MULTIPLE-DEPENDENT		SMALL ENTITY FEE = \$145.00			0	
	CLAIMS FEE***		LARGE ENTITY FEE = \$ 290.00				
Е	TOTAL FILING FEE					0.0	00
F	NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED****		IF NO FEE REQUIRED, INSERT "0"				

List Independent Claims: 1, 14, 23, 24, 25, 32, and 33

- * IF HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR IS 20 OR LESS, WRITE "20" IN COLUMN 3
- ** IF HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR IS 3 OR LESS, WRITE "3" IN COLUMN 3
- *** PAY THIS FEE ONLY WHEN MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ARE ADDED FOR THE FIRST TIME
- **** IF NO FEE REQUIRED, ADDRESS ENVELOPE TO "BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENTS"

Attach is our check for _____ to pay the fees calculated above.

A Petition for Extension of Time and the required fee are enclosed.

Other enclosures:

Authorization and Address for Correspondence:

The Commissioner is authorized during the prosecution of this application to charge fees that may be required or credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 501215, except for payment of patent issue fees required under 37 CFR § 1.18. Please show our above-referenced number with any credit or charge to our Deposit Account. A copy of this letter is enclosed.

Please address all correspondence to J.D. Harriman II, COUDERT BROTHERS LLP, 333 South Hope Street, 23rd Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.

Respectfully submitted

J D. Harriman II Reg. No. 31, 967

COUDERT BROTHERS LLP

Date: April 15, 2004

COUDERT BROTHERS LLP 333 South Hope Street, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 229-2900

LOSANGELES 120971v1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFF

Applicant(s)

Timothy J. Van Hook

Application No.

09/625,812

Filed

July 26, 2000

Title

: Method and Apparatus for Interleaved Graphics Processing

Group/Unit

Examiner Reference Singh, Dalip K.

: 0007057-0012

APR 2 2 2004

Technology Center 2600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. § 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the address shown below.

COUDERT BROTHERS LLP

333 South Hope Street

Suite 2300

Los Angeles, California 90071

(213) 229-2900

Date of Deposit: April 15,2004

Mary Helen Lopez

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Examiner:

This is a response to the outstanding Official Action dated January 16, 2004 in the present application. Applicant notes that although the office action states that claims 1-33 are pending, in fact, claims 1-18, and 23-33 are pending. In addition, there are a number of times where the Examiner states that certain claims are "similar in scope" to other claims. For example, the Examiner states that "Regarding claim 14, it is similar in scope to claim 3 above and is rejected under the same rationale." Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's contention in this case and in all other cases where the Examiner contends that claim scope is similar. Applicant notes that claim scope is ultimately determined in a judicial proceeding. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner refrain from statements about comparative scope of claims in future communications.